APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/626,894	07/24/2003	Shalaby W. Shalaby	SHA-43	2560	•
²⁹⁶⁹⁸ LEIGH P. GRE	7590 01/16/2007 GORY	EXAMINER			
ATTORNEY AT LAW			HENLEY III, RAYMOND J		
PO BOX 168 CLEMSON, SC	29633-0168	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	•	
,			1614		
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
31 DAYS		01/16/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/626,8	394	SHALABY, SHALABY W.				
		Examine	<u>·</u> er	Art Unit				
	•	Raymon	d J. Henley III	1614				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears on th	ne cover sheet with	h the correspondence a	ddress			
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn period for reply is specified above, the maximum st are to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DATE OF T of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e nunication. atutory period will apply and v will, by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNIC event, however, may a re- will expire SIX (6) MONT aplication to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed (HS from the mailing date of this NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)[]	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on						
2a)□	This action is FINAL .	2b) This action is	non-final.					
3)□								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the a	application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
•	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> are subject to restriction	on and/or election re	·quirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any object							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. N	lote the attached	Office Action of form P	/1U-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of:	for foreign priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
- / ·	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have be	en received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	$3.\square$ Copies of the certified copies	of the priority docum	ients have been r	received in this Nationa	al Stage			
	application from the Internation			·				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of the cer	tified copies not r	eceived.				
Attachmen	t(e)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			ummary (PTO-413)				
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948)	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date formal Patent Application	•			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:		•			

Application/Control Number: 10/626,894

Art Unit: 1614

CLAIMS 1-19 ARE PRESENTED FOR EXAMINATION

Election of Species Requirement

Claims 1 and 8-11 are generic to disclosed, patentably distinct species of mixtures of oppositely charged, solid microparticulate polyelectrolytes. The species are independent or distinct because the mixture may comprise chemically distinct polyelectrolytes and a reference to one such mixture would not necessarily teach or suggest another such mixture. For example, a mixture of polypeptides, (note that the claims do not require the mixture to contain different polyelectrolytes), would not teach or suggest a mixture of positively charged chitosan and negatively charged polymeric phosphate glass or ceramic. Further, given the sheer number of possible mixtures encompassed by the above identified generic claims, a search for each and every such mixture would place an undue burden on the examiner. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species of polyelectrolyte mixtures, even though this requirement is traversed. In particular, applicant should identify a particular polyelectrolyte mixture from those disclosed in the present specification at pages 8-15. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an

Application/Control Number: 10/626,894

Art Unit: 1614

allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond J. Henley III whose telephone number is 571-272-0575. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30 am to 4:00 pm Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin H. Marschel can be reached on 571-272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

Application/Control Number: 10/626,894

Art Unit: 1614

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Raymond J Henley III Primary Examiner Art Unit 1614

January 5, 2007